

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,565	07/10/2002	Christian Boehnke	HHI-039US	6867	
959	7590 07/25/2003				
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD			EXAMINER		
28 STATE ST BOSTON, M.	TE STREET N, MA 02109		LIN, KUA	LIN, KUANG Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725 DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	/3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	n No.	Applicant(s)					
<b>, v</b> '		10/070,56	5	BOEHNKE, CH	IRISTIAN				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit	į į				
		Kuang Y. I		1725					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Extension after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 36 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) daperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everence cation. ays, a reply within the statury period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, i itory minimum Il expire SIX (6 ication to beco	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered ti 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>08 July 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is	non-final.						
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims				the merits is				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quiremer	nt.					
Application	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted o	ır b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.					
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority un	der 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	cuments have beer	n received	d in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(	_								
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper ice of Informal Patent Application ( er:					

, Application/Control Number: 10/070,565

Art Unit: 1725

1. he following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lester.

The scope of the claims reads on the prior art apparatus. In Lester, the tip of the reaction plunger 15 moves into the mold, which consists of mold parts 10 and 11, during casting process. The entire article formed from the entire solidified molten metal is the casting. Thus, the tip of the reaction plunger is one of the two walls that is movable into the mold and is configured to partially determine surface shape of the casting, i.e. the molded article 12.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1-2, assuming that the part of the casting of Lester formed in the space between the wall of plunger 15 in position E and the wall of plunger 17 in the position A is not considered to be a casting, is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lester and further in view of CH 661,883 and vise versa.

Lester shows to deliver molten metal through moving two opposed pistons in the injection cylinder. The apparatus of Lester is capable of providing various

volume of molten metal needed for different castings. CH '883 shows to use one of the two opposed pistons to define a part of mold cavity such that to eliminate the use of sprue and thereby simplifying the die design. It would have been obvious to use one of piston to define the mold cavity as taught by CH '883 in the apparatus of Lester in view of the advantage. It would also obvious to use the two opposed pistons movable within the chamber of injection cylinder for varying the volume of the molten metal for casting different castings as taught by Lester in the apparatus of CH '883 in view of the advantage.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In page 6, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of the remarks applicant stated that CH '883 does not show two movable walls of the injection chamber 3 for varying or displacing the volume form between them. However, Lester shows that feature to be conventional. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/070,565

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 703-308-2322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

\*\*\*

July 17, 2003

KUANG Y. LIN EXAMINER

COULD 336